

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,470	12/11/2006	Matthias Schwarz	285216US0PCT	6618
OBLON SPIX	7590 11/07/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			FINN, MEGHAN R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/571,470 SCHWARZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MEGHAN FINN 1614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

application from th	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
ttachment(s)	

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) A Information-Disclessure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE) Paper No(s)Mail Date 3/13/06: 6/01/06	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:
S. Petent and Trademark Office	

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Application/Control Number: 10/571,470 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-14) and a specific compound, (2Z)-(1-ethyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzimidazol-2-ylidene)(5-methyl-2-{[3-(2-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl]amino}pyrimidin-4-yl)acetonitrile (example 4 on page 52) in the reply filed on July 14, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that restriction is only proper if the groups are independent or distinct and there is a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because this was not a restriction, but rather a lack of unity of invention, so the US restriction rules do not apply. As detailed in the previous restriction requirement mailed June 13, 2008, there is a lack of unity of invention and that is the standard, the examiner is not required to show distinctness or burden in the case of a national stage application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/571.470

Art Unit: 1614

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant has claimed compounds of formula I, and elected a specific compound, (2Z)-(1-ethyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzimidazol-2-ylidene)(5-methyl-2-{[3-(2-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl]amino}pyrimidin-4-yl)acetonitrile. Applicant has not disclosed their invention such that one skill in the art would be able to make or use the invention as claimed. Applicant has shown how to make the composition in example 4, however they do not start with a compound that is well known in the art or commercially available, even in example 1, it is unclear how one of skill in the art at the time of the invention would be able to make the starting material, and thus the elected species.

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986) and reiterated by the Court of Appeals in *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 at 1404 (CAFC 1988). The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The quantity of experimentation necessary is large (1) due to the small amount of direction provided (2) and while there is an example directed towards the elected

Application/Control Number: 10/571,470

Art Unit: 1614

species, the synthesis is not described in enough detail to allow one of skill in the art to make the invention (3). The nature of the invention is synthesis of a complex organic molecule (4) and the state of the prior art is such that the compound is not known (5). The relative skill of those in the art is high (6) however the unpredictability of such a synthesis of an unknown compound is also high (7). The breadth of the claims is large due to the large number of compounds other than the elected species which are encompassed by the general formula I (8).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed. The elected species is free of the prior art.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meghan Finn whose telephone number is (571) 270-3281. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm Mon-Thu, 7:30am-4pm Friday (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Meghan Finn

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614